



Benefits and Work
Guides you can trust

The Best Possible

Employment and Support Allowance Appeals

January 2012



Authors: Steve Donnison & Holiday Whitehead (barrister)

Contents

Who is this guide for?	3
Is there any risk if you challenge a decision?	4
What happens to your ESA claim whilst you are challenging a decision?.....	5
Revision or appeal?	6
How to lodge an appeal.....	7
What happens after the appeal is lodged.....	11
Completing the pre-hearing enquiry form.....	14
Working with the appeal papers.....	16
Submitting additional evidence	20
Whether and how to write a submission.....	22
Using upper tribunal judges' (Commissioners') decisions.....	24
Preparing yourself for the hearing	26
Inviting witnesses	29
Notice of a hearing	30
What to do at the hearing.....	31
Appealing to the upper tribunal – the first step.....	35
Getting help with an appeal	37
Appendix 1: Changes introduced in November 2008.....	39

Disclaimer

Every care has been taken to ensure that the content of this work is accurate and that legislation and caselaw used is current at the time of writing. However, no responsibility for loss occasioned to any person acting or refraining from action as a result of any statement in this work can be accepted by the authors.

Copyright © 2009 – 2012 Steve Donnison & Holiday Whitehead.

All rights reserved. No part of this work may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means (photocopying, electronic, recording or otherwise), except for personal, non-commercial use, without the prior written permission of the authors. Crown copyright material is reproduced with the permission of the Controller of HMSO and the Queen's Printer for Scotland.

Who is this guide for?

This guide is for you if you are unhappy about a decision in relation to your claim for employment and support allowance.

Please note: this guide is designed for people who used the Benefits and Work guides to ESA when making their claim. If you did not do so, please download copies from our website at www.benefitsandwork.co.uk

There is information in the guides on how your capability for work and work-related activity is assessed that it is assumed readers of this guide are familiar with.

What this guide is about

This guide takes you through the process of challenging a decision in relation to your claim for ESA. It explains the difference between asking for a revision and lodging an appeal. It guides you step-by-step through the process of taking your case to a tribunal, either with a representative or by yourself if you are unable to get help. We tell you what forms and paperwork to expect and how to deal with them. We also explain how to prepare your case and what will happen at the hearing. Finally, we tell you what steps you can take if you're unhappy with the tribunal's decision.

If the whole process seems too daunting for you, then go straight to the Help! pages and see if you can find someone to assist you with preparing your case and perhaps even representing you at your hearing.

Is it worth appealing?

Yes it is. The success rate for people appealing against a decision that they are capable of work is around 40%. That may not sound like a lot, but it still means that four out of ten people who appeal come away with an award.

Good luck!

Steve Donnison & Holiday Whitehead (barrister)

Is there any risk if you challenge a decision?

In relation to ESA, there are three main types of decision that you may be challenging.

1. You got no award of ESA at all.
2. You were awarded ESA but put in the work-related activity group when you consider you should be in the support group.
3. Your work-related activity component has been sanctioned because, for example, you failed to attend a work-focused interview.

The first and third of these should not carry any risk, but the second definitely does.

If you were awarded no ESA at all then you have nothing to lose by challenging the decision.

If you have had your work-related activity component reduced, the worst the tribunal is likely to be able to do is confirm the decision to cut your benefit. It's highly unlikely that they would take the view that they could look again at whether you were entitled to ESA at all.

However, if you have been awarded ESA with the work-related activity component there is a potential risk involved in challenging the decision. This is because by asking to have the decision looked at again, you open up the possibility that a new decision will be made that you are not entitled to any rate of ESA at all.

In the vast majority of cases this will not happen. But if you are challenging a decision that you belong in the work-related activity group rather than the support group then you need to do a risk assessment based on two things:

Firstly, is the evidence to support the current award so strong that there is little likelihood of it being taken away?

Secondly, is the evidence to support your entitlement to the higher support component sufficiently strong that it is worth taking even a relatively small risk by asking for the decision to be looked at again?

In the end, only you can make this decision, but if you can get advice from an experienced welfare rights worker that would clearly help.

The emotional effects

You need to be aware that the appeal process can be time consuming and emotionally gruelling. The experience of going to a tribunal and being questioned in great detail about your everyday life can be distressing and, not only is there no certainty of success, you might even end up worse off if you are appealing to be moved from the work-related activity group to the support group.

However, most tribunals are run in a sensitive way by people who will try to put you at your ease and make it as little of an ordeal as possible.

What happens to your ESA claim whilst you are challenging a decision?

What happens to your claim will depend on which decision you are challenging.

Awarded ESA

If you have been awarded ESA, but only put in the work-related activity group, whereas you believe you should be in the support group, you will continue to receive your ESA with a work-related activity component as normal whilst you appeal.

Component sanctioned

If you are challenging a decision to sanction your ESA then you will continue to receive your ESA minus the sanctioned amount whilst you appeal.

Not awarded ESA at all

If you have not been awarded ESA at all, then you have two choices:

1. Claim ESA at the assessment phase rate until a decision is made. If you choose to do this you will have to continue submitting sick notes until the matter is finally settled. In addition, you need to get your GL24 appeal form in as quickly as possible, because payments are likely to stop until you do so. You will only get the assessment phase rate of ESA, so you will be on a reduced rate if you are under 25 and there will be no additional work-related activity or support component. If your appeal is successful you will be repaid any amounts that you have missed out on.
2. Claim JSA whilst you appeal. You should be able to restrict the work you are available for based on your health conditions. In addition, the fact that you have been claiming JSA should not be used against you in the appeal process. However, some claimants have had difficulties with getting the additional component backdated after they have won their ESA appeal.

Revision or appeal?

There are two ways you can have a decision looked at again:

- you can ask for the decision to be revised; or
- you can lodge an appeal.

In general, as we explain below, we would recommend that you go straight to an appeal rather than asking for a revision first. Indeed, if you have received no award of main phase ESA at all and you wish to carry on claiming ESA at the assessment phase rate, rather than claiming JSA, then **you must appeal** rather than asking for a revision.

Whichever you do there is a time limit: the DWP must receive your request **within one month** of the date on the letter giving the decision. There are limited circumstances in which a late request can be granted, but it isn't easy and you may need to seek advice.

If you write or telephone asking for a revision you are simply asking the decision maker to look at the matter again. However, if you have followed the instructions in the Benefits and Work ESA guides and made a detailed claim supported by evidence we would **not** advise you to ask for a revision as it is unlikely to result in a higher award.

However, if you appeal instead of asking for a revision, the decision will automatically be looked at again in any case before the appeal papers are prepared, so there really is no obvious advantage in asking for a revision. Moreover, at the time of writing, in many areas revisions are generally taking at least eleven weeks. If the revision is unsuccessful you will then have to appeal and wait at least a further three months for a hearing and it may take very much longer as a backlog of ESA appeals is building up. At the time of writing, the average wait between an appeal being lodged and a tribunal being held is at least seven months.

Beware the Explanation Team

In addition, if you ask for a revision you may be put through to, or telephoned at home by, the Explanation Team who will explain to you how the decision was reached. They may then attempt to persuade you to withdraw your challenge by saying, for example, that your condition is not severe enough for an award, or a better award, to be made.

Protecting yourself from the Explanation Team

If you make a telephone request for a revision, you may wish to state clearly that you do not require an explanation. If you are put straight through to someone who attempts to explain the decision you may want to explain politely that you are unable to deal with such a complex matter over the telephone and that you simply wish to have the decision looked at again.

If you make a written request for a revision, you may wish to state in writing that you do not want to be telephoned with an explanation. For example:

I wish to have the decision that I do not have limited capability for work [or for work-related activity] looked at again.

If you wish to contact me about this please do so in writing. I do not wish to receive any telephone calls as I consider welfare benefits too complex to be discussed over the telephone. Please also note that under no circumstances will I withdraw my request verbally. If my request is ignored and I am contacted by telephone any apparent verbal request to withdraw my challenge will be based on a misunderstanding on your part.

How to lodge an appeal

If you do decide to lodge an appeal the most important thing is to do so ***within one month*** of the date on the decision letter. Get a copy of booklet GL24 *If you think our decision is wrong*, which explains the appeals process and also includes an appeal form to tear out and complete. You can get the booklet from your local DWP office, jobcentre or advice agency. You can also download a copy from the DirectGov website. (Type 'GL24 appeal form' into Google and download the leaflet entitled 'If you think our decision is wrong' from the DirectGov website page).

The appeal form asks you for:

- Your name and address
- Your date of birth
- Details of your representative if you have one – don't worry if you're not sure if you can get one yet, their details can be given to the Tribunals Service at any time
- Your national insurance number
- The benefit you are appealing about – this will be ESA
- The date shown at the top of the letter telling you about the decision – this is to check if your appeal is within the time limits
- *Why* you disagree with the decision (see below)
- Your signature and the date

If you can't get a copy of the form then you should write a letter containing *all* the information above. But bear in mind that the DWP are not obliged to accept an appeal that is not on the proper form, so only do this as a last resort.

Explaining why you disagree with the decision

As you may have been given very little information about why you have not received an award it can be difficult to explain why you disagree. You can request that the DWP send an explanation for the decision and if you do so the deadline for the appeal will be extended by 14 days. We would *not* advise you to do this. The opportunities for confusion and missed deadlines are increased, the reasons given may still not be very detailed and it may make completing the appeal form more difficult unless you have detailed knowledge of benefits law.

(You can obtain copies of all the evidence used by the decision maker by using your rights under the Data Protection Act. There's more on this in the section on '*What happens after the appeal is lodged*').

Wherever possible you should give some grounds for why you think the decision is wrong, but ensure that you always make it clear that these may not be your only grounds of appeal and that you may wish to add more once you have had an opportunity to see the evidence being used. We show how to do this in the sample appeal texts below.

A request for more evidence

The DWP increasingly telephone claimants who lodge an appeal and ask them for more information about their reasons for their appeal and about whether they will be submitting additional evidence. You may even be phoned when the decision is made and asked if you intend to appeal.

We know from posts in our forum that in some cases this conversation can result in a claimant getting the decision changed without having to go to a tribunal. However, it can also result in claimants being told why the DWP considers their appeal has little chance of success and feeling like they are coming under pressure to withdraw.

Warning: in rare cases a conversation with the decision maker may even lead to your appeal being withdrawn without you being informed beforehand, see 'A call from the decision maker' in the next section for more on this.

Some claimants will welcome every opportunity to put their case and be happy to have a conversation with a decision maker before their appeal. Others will be reluctant to do so, particularly if what they say – or are alleged to have said – may form part of the evidence given by the DWP. We have included an optional paragraph in the sample explanation below to try to discourage contact from a decision maker, if this is what you wish to do.

Where no award of ESA has been made

Where no award has been made, the explanation below should be sufficient to lodge your appeal.

I wish to appeal against the decision that I do not have limited capability for work. I consider that I provided enough evidence for a finding to be made that I do have limited capability for work [if you think you should be in the support group add the words 'and limited capability for work-related activity'].

I do not consider that the decision maker took full account of the severity of my condition or of the way that it affects my everyday activities and bodily functions.

[If you are able to, give an example of why you think the decision maker got it wrong, such as: For example, in my questionnaire I stated that because of my depression I usually cannot motivate myself to do everyday things like getting out of bed, washing, dressing or eating breakfast unless my partner repeatedly encourages me. Yet I was awarded no points for this. This is only one example of why I think the decision is wrong.]

DO NOT INCLUDE THE NEXT PARAGRAPH IF YOU ARE HAPPY TO BE CONTACTED BY A DECISION MAKER

Please do not ask me to give further details of my grounds of appeal at this stage as I will not be able to do so until I have been provided with more information about how the decision was reached and had the opportunity to try to get independent advice and support. If you wish to contact me about this matter please do so in writing. I do not wish to receive any telephone calls as I consider welfare benefits too complex to be discussed over the telephone.

Under no circumstances will I withdraw my appeal verbally, any suggestion that I have done so will be mistaken.

I will provide any further evidence as soon as it becomes available.

I wish to have an oral hearing so that I can explain the full effects of my condition to a tribunal and answer any questions they may wish to ask.

Whilst my appeal is being considered I wish to remain in the assessment phase of ESA.

Don't add the last sentence if you are intending to claim JSA instead. If you do wish to continue to claim ESA at the assessment phase rate it would also be worth writing a separate letter to the office dealing with your claim explaining that you have now made a formal appeal and wish to carry on claiming ESA.

You will also need to continue providing sick notes and may be asked to provide a fresh sick note even if the DWP already hold a current one.

Where you have been placed in the work-related activity group

Where you have been placed in the work-related activity group but you believe you should be in the support group, use something along the following lines:

I wish to appeal against the decision that I do not have limited capability for work-related activity. I consider that I provided enough evidence for a finding to be made that I do have limited capability for work-related activity.

I do not consider that the decision maker took full account of the severity of my condition or of the way that it affects my everyday activities and bodily functions.

[Because there are such a limited number of ways that you can pass the limited capability for work-related activity assessment it is probably worth saying why you think you do. Such as:

For example, I am unable to mobilise more than fifty metres without experiencing severe discomfort but the decision maker has said that I can walk 200 metres and so has not put me in the support group. There may be additional grounds on which I wish to appeal once I have seen the papers.

DO NOT INCLUDE THE NEXT PARAGRAPH IF YOU ARE HAPPY TO BE CONTACTED BY A DECISION MAKER

Please do not ask me to give further details of my grounds of appeal at this stage as I will not be able to do so until I have been provided with more information about how the decision was reached and had the opportunity to try to get independent advice and support. If you wish to contact me about this matter please do so in writing. I do not wish to receive any telephone calls as I consider welfare benefits too complex to be discussed over the telephone.

Under no circumstances will I withdraw my appeal verbally, any suggestion that I have done so will be mistaken.

I will submit any further evidence as soon as it becomes available.

I wish to have an oral hearing so that I can explain the full effects of my condition to a tribunal and answer any questions they may wish to ask.

Where you have had your ESA sanctioned

Where you have had your ESA sanctioned you will either need to show that you had good cause for doing, or failing to do, whatever you are accused of or you will need to argue that the accusation is untrue. It will probably, therefore, be necessary to explain in your appeal form what your defence is in some detail. For example:

I wish to appeal against the decision to sanction my ESA work-related activity component. The decision maker states that I failed, without good cause, to participate in a work-focused interview.

However, I consider that I did have good cause because the interview was held in an open-plan office to which the public had access. I asked to have a private room in which I could answer questions about my health condition without others overhearing. I was told that the only private room was on the first floor with no lift and that I could not have the interview at home at a later date, even though I cannot climb stairs. I therefore refused to answer any questions about my health condition that I considered embarrassing or unreasonable in view of the public nature of the interview.

I believe that I have a right to have my personal details kept confidential and that the DWP and their agents have a duty under the Data Protection Act to collect data securely and confidentially and that I therefore had good cause to decline to answer questions about my health.

There may be additional grounds on which I wish to appeal once I have seen the papers.

DO NOT INCLUDE THE NEXT PARAGRAPH IF YOU ARE HAPPY TO BE CONTACTED BY A DECISION MAKER

Please do not ask me to give further details of my grounds of appeal at this stage as I will not be able to do so until I have been provided with more information about how the decision was reached and had the opportunity to try to get independent advice and support. If you wish to contact me about this matter please do so in writing. I do not wish to receive any telephone calls as I consider welfare benefits too complex to be discussed over the telephone.

Under no circumstances will I withdraw my appeal verbally, any suggestion that I have done so will be mistaken.

I will submit any further evidence as soon as it becomes available.

I wish to have an oral hearing so that I can explain the full effects of my condition to a tribunal and answer any questions they may wish to ask.

Please note, this is just an example, we can't guarantee that a tribunal would accept this as good cause – although we certainly think they ought to.

Posting the form

At this stage you needn't worry if you don't think you could face an oral hearing, there's months in which you can switch to a paper hearing if you choose, although your chances of success will be greatly reduced if you do so. Send the completed form to the address on the letter telling you about the decision and mark the envelope 'Appeals'. You absolutely must keep a copy of the appeal form and either send it recorded delivery or get a free certificate of posting from the post office.

What happens after the appeal is lodged

You should receive a letter from the DWP acknowledging the appeal. It will say that they are going to look again at the decision and that they will either change it and let you know their new decision or leave it unchanged and send your appeal on to the Tribunals Service. If you don't get an acknowledgement within 14 days, write or telephone the DWP to check if they got the appeal form and ask for written confirmation.

A call from the decision maker

As noted earlier, the DWP are now more likely to call you about your appeal as part of the revision process. They may wish to explain further how the decision was reached and to find out if you are planning to submit additional evidence before the appeal. However, they may also hope to persuade you that your appeal has no reasonable prospect of success.

You may welcome the opportunity to explain to a decision maker why the decision is wrong. In which case it may be worth making a note of the points you want to make and keeping them handy in case of a call. If you are in the process of getting additional evidence you may also want to tell the decision maker when you hope to be able to pass it on.

On the other hand, if you haven't seen a copy of the evidence used by the DWP, such as an ESA85 medical report or an ESA113 completed by your GP, then you may feel it is far too early to start discussing the case.

You may also be concerned that the decision maker will be the only one with a record of the conversation and that some of what you say, or are alleged to have said, may be used in the DWP's evidence for the appeal.

You should also be aware that since December 2011, new procedures allow your appeal to be withdrawn without you ever requesting in writing that this be done, provided that the decision maker has not yet prepared the bundle of papers and sent them to the tribunals service.

According to guidance issued to decision makers, if the claimant indicates in a telephone conversation that they don't wish to continue the appeal they should be asked to confirm this in writing and all work on the case should stop. If no written response is received within 7 days a reminder should be sent telling the claimant the appeal will be withdrawn in a further 7 days.

However, the decision maker doesn't need to wait 7 days or send any reminder at all if, in their opinion, it was clear from the original telephone conversation that the claimant did not intend to send written confirmation. Instead they can simply send a copy of the claimant's original appeal form to a tribunal clerk along with a standard letter saying that:

"In telephoning the claimant to discuss the matter of their appeal the claimant stated that they no longer wished to continue with their appeal and asked for it to be withdrawn."

At the same time the decision maker should also write to the claimant telling them what they have done. But the claimant will not be given any contact details for the tribunals service, so will still have to talk or write to the decision maker if they want to try to stop their appeal being withdrawn.

Once the tribunals service have received the request from the DWP, the decision to end the appeal will be rubber stamped by a tribunal clerk without the need for further evidence.

The claimant will then receive a letter from the tribunals service stating that the appeal has been withdrawn. If they wish to have it reinstated they must make a request to the tribunal service

within one month of the date that the tribunal service received the letter from the DWP asking for the appeal to be withdrawn. This date will be in the letter to the claimant.

If you do have concerns about talking to a decision maker, then you should consider telling them that you don't want to discuss the case over the telephone but would rather have everything put in writing. In some cases, for example if you have a mental or physical health condition that makes it very hard for you to concentrate, this may count as a reasonable adjustment under the Equality Act.

If the decision maker persists, you may wish to give non-committal answers, simply explaining that you will not be able to give further details of your case until you have seen all the evidence and tried to get advice and that you do not yet know whether you will be submitting further evidence.

You may also want to ask the decision maker if the call is being recorded. If it is you will have a right to be provided with a copy of the recording for your own records. You may also wish to record the conversation yourself if you are able to do this. You are not obliged to tell the decision maker that you are doing this if the recording is simply for your own use, though you can tell them if you wish. In either case, it would be wise to also take notes of the conversation.

Getting copies of the DWP evidence

It may take many weeks before you receive a copy of the bundle of papers that make up the evidence for your appeal. But right at the outset you may wish to get copies of the evidence used by the DWP to make their decision so that you can begin preparing your appeal in detail.

You have a right to see this evidence and the quickest and simplest way to get it is to write to the office dealing with your claim and ask for it.

There should be a list of evidence used by the decision maker in the letter you received informing you of the decision. But, whether you have that letter or not, a request along these lines should get you the most important evidence:

Dear Sir/Ms,

Subject Access request under the Data Protection Act 1998

Your name and address

Your national insurance number

I wish to be provided with copies of all the evidence used by the decision maker in reaching the decision dated [insert date] in relation to my application for employment and support allowance. This evidence should include:

The ESA85 medical report form and any evidence as to whether the report was audited and whether any amendments were made as a result [if you had a medical].

The ESA85A form [if you did not have a medical].

The ESA113 if one was acquired in my case

Any medical evidence from health professionals such as my GP or consultant.

Any queries, requests for clarification, correspondence, memos, emails or other communications between Atos health professionals and the decision maker in relation to my claim or any notes or records of conversations between Atos and the DWP.

Any other evidence considered by the decision maker in reaching their decision.

In addition, I wish to be provided with a copy of any worksheet, score sheet or any similar document which sets out which descriptors, exemptions or exceptional circumstances the

decision maker considered applied and did not apply to me and any other documents which set out the justification for the decision reached in my case.

Yours faithfully,

You may wish to phone the office concerned to make this request, but do make sure that you put it in writing as well.

The time limit for responding to such a request is a maximum of 40 calendar days from the date it is received by the DWP and there is no charge for providing documents.

The revision decision

When the decision maker looks again at your claim one of two things will happen.

The first possibility is that the decision will be revised and you will receive a new decision letter. If your position has been improved but you are still not happy with the award you will have to make a fresh appeal against the new decision **within one month** of the date on the letter. And, once again, you must realise that if you do appeal your award can be taken away as well as increased.

So, for example, if you were found not to be eligible for ESA at all initially, but on revision you were awarded ESA with a work-related activity component, you will need to appeal again if you consider that you should be getting the support component. But if you do appeal again it is also possible that the tribunal will take away your award of ESA altogether.

The second – and most likely - possibility is that the decision will not be changed. In this case, a bundle of documents, including a copy of your completed claim form and any other evidence, will be sent to you – or to the representative if one was listed – and to the Tribunals Service. It may take several weeks, or even months, for this to happen.

Shortly after this you should also receive a letter from the Tribunals Service confirming that they have received a copy of the appeal papers. This will have your appeal reference number on it, which you should use in any further correspondence with the Tribunals Service. The letter should also include an enquiry form for you to complete and return within 14 days of the date on the letter. We deal with the enquiry form in detail in the next chapter.

A massive backlog of ESA appeals is currently building up, with a wait of at least five months for most people between the appeal being lodged with the Tribunals Service and an oral hearing actually being held.

Withdrawing an appeal

You can withdraw an appeal at any time before the hearing. This must be done in writing to the Tribunals Service, but it is not necessary to give reasons, a simple statement saying '*I wish to withdraw my appeal*' is all that is required.

Completing the pre-hearing enquiry form

After you receive the decision maker's submission, you should receive an Enquiry form from the Tribunals Service. If you do not return this within 14 days of the date on the letter your appeal may be struck out. As well as a section for you to give your name, address, telephone number national insurance number and appeal reference the form contains the following boxes:

Question 1

If you do not want to continue with your appeal, please tick this box . . .

Don't tick the box. Remember you can withdraw your appeal at any point, so don't feel pressured into making a decision yet.

Question 2

Do you want to have a hearing where you and your representative, if you have one, can meet the tribunal and put your case?

We would strongly advise you to tick Yes and ask for an oral hearing where you put your case in person. The chances of success at a paper hearing, where you are not present to tell the tribunal about your everyday life, are much lower than for an oral hearing. It is likely to be another two to three months or more before your hearing, so there is still time to try to find a representative or someone to accompany you if you don't already have one.

If yes, please tell us if there are any days of the week when you are regularly NOT available for a hearing.

As well as giving any days of the week, it is worth giving any specific dates in the next three months when you will not be available, for example because you have a hospital or other appointment or because you will be away. Remember to check dates with anyone you hope is going to accompany you, either for support or as a witness. If any other dates become unavailable before you have a date for the hearing, let the Tribunals Service know about these too.

Question 3

If you have ticked Yes to question 2, we will usually give you at least 14 days notice of the date of your hearing.

We would advise you not to tick the box agreeing to shorter notice, particularly if you are hoping to get someone to come with you.

Question 4

Do you need an interpreter?

If you do need an interpreter an extended hearing should be arranged.

Question 5

Do you need a signer?

If you do need a signer an extended hearing should be arranged.

Question 6

Use this box to tell us about any other special arrangements you need.

Tribunals are held locally, not at the regional office that you return the form to, unless that happens to be your home town. But you may still have to travel some distance, perhaps to the nearest large town or city, for your hearing. You can phone or write to The Tribunals Service to find out where your hearing will be held. If your condition means you cannot use public transport and you can't drive or get a lift you may need to travel to the hearing by taxi. The Tribunals Service may agree to pay the fare, so explain in this box why a taxi is needed.

If you cannot attend a hearing at any time because of your health it is possible to have a domiciliary hearing held in your home. However, The Tribunals Service are very, very reluctant to grant domiciliary hearings – you may have a long fight on your hands. You may also have to wait up to a year before a date is set. But if you do need a domiciliary hearing, say so here.

If you have any other special needs, give details here. For example, if your condition means that you become extremely fatigued in the afternoons and would struggle to take part in the proceedings as a result, you could ask for a morning slot and explain why.

The Tribunals Service is covered by the Equality Act, so you have a legal right to ask for reasonable adjustments in connection with your disability or health condition. Our guide to *Getting better treatment from the DWP*, which you can download from the members' area at www.benefitsandwork.co.uk may give you more ideas about what it may be reasonable to request.

Question 7

If you have a representative please give their name and full address.

Ignore this box if you don't have a representative yet.

When you've completed all the boxes you should sign and date the form and then return it to the regional centre whose address is given on the form. And remember, they have to receive it **within 14 days** of the date on the front of the form or the appeal may be struck out. (If the appeal is struck out you can apply to have it reinstated, but there's no guarantee of success and you'll probably need advice from a welfare rights worker).

Working with the appeal papers

The appeal papers are prepared by the DWP and they generally contain around 50-100 pages. They will contain some or all of the following elements, though not necessarily in this order:

- **Schedule of evidence:** this is the front page and it's just an index of what's inside.
- **Claimant details:** your name, address and national insurance number.
- **Decision appealed against:** this is just a restatement of the decision about your ESA claim.
- **List of descriptors:** this will be both the limited capability for work and limited capability for work-related activity descriptors.
- **Acts and Regulations relied upon:** this is a list of the relevant laws, you can research these if you wish, but you really don't need to.
- **Upper tribunal decisions relied upon:** Upper tribunals (formerly commissioners) are the next level up from an ordinary tribunal. If you lose at the hearing you may be able to appeal to the upper tribunal. We deal with upper tribunal decisions in a separate section.
- **Claimant's grounds of appeal:** this is taken from the appeal form you completed.
- **Summary of facts and decision maker's submission/response:** this is where the DWP explains why it thinks its decision was right. They may quote bits of law, large chunks from upper tribunal decisions, bits of your claim form and bits of medical evidence.
- **Documents relating to the case:** this may include medical certificates, your appeal form, ESA50 questionnaire, ESA85 medical report, ESA113, any supporting letters plus any other evidence used in coming to the decision.

The bundle of papers can look extremely intimidating and many appeals falter at this point, even though it's perfectly possible for a claimant to put forward their case at an oral hearing without ever reading the papers and many people do so. However, you can give your appeal a better chance of success by focusing on the most important parts of the appeal papers.

Simple checks

Before you even do this, however, there are three simple checks you can make which may save your hearing being needlessly adjourned and a further wait of several months before it is finally heard.

Are the papers about you?

Surprisingly often people are sent papers that are not about them, particularly if you have a popular last name. If you've got the wrong person's papers, contact the DWP and tell them.

Is everything in the schedule present?

There is a list or schedule of documents at the front of the bundle. Make sure that everything listed in the schedule is actually in the papers and that nothing has accidentally been left out.

Are there pages missing?

Check the page numbers in the bundle. The page numbers are usually hand written at the top of each page. It's easy for a page to be missed out in the photocopying process. If there is anything missing, contact the Tribunals Service and tell them.

The Atos medical report.

Not everyone will have an Atos medical report. Some people will be awarded ESA just on the basis of 'scrutiny' by an Atos health professional of any evidence available. This might include your ESA50 questionnaire, an ESA113 from your GP and any other evidence the DWP have received.

However, the vast majority of people who have lodged an appeal will have had a medical assessment at which the examining health professional produced an ESA85 medical report.

Make a note of anything you consider to be wrong with this report. Did the Atos health professional fail to note down things you told them or things that happened at the medical? Has the Atos health professional said they consider that you can do things that in fact you can't? Has the Atos health professional taken things you said or did out of context? Has the Atos health professional recorded things that didn't happen or that you didn't say?

It may be necessary to try to get medical evidence with which to challenge some aspects of the Atos report, other parts may be dealt with by non-medical evidence. However, many aspects of the report may be shown to be unreliable simply by you giving evidence and examples at your hearing.

The summary of facts and the decision maker's submission.

Go through these just as carefully because what the DWP calls facts may not be facts at all.

- Has the decision maker made assumptions about you that aren't based on any evidence and then presented them as facts?
- Has the decision maker relied on evidence from the Atos medical report which you consider to be incorrect?
- Has the decision maker ignored or unfairly dismissed other evidence that you, your GP or someone else provided that undermines the decision maker's case?
- Has the decision maker just not bothered to justify their decision in any detail at all, simply setting out the criteria for an award of ESA and then stating that you don't satisfy them?

Once again, you need to decide how best to challenge the DWP's evidence. This may be by submitting additional medical or other evidence or by giving oral evidence at the hearing or, more probably, a combination of the two.

Other evidence in the bundle

Has the decision maker relied on any other evidence in reaching their decision. For example, did the DWP send your GP or consultant a form to complete? Once again, you need to decide how best to challenge evidence that you consider incorrect.

Using our guides

Make sure you use our highly detailed guides to the work capability assessment to help you prepare your appeal. They look at each descriptor in details and offer suggestions as to how they should be understood. A tribunal may prefer your interpretation of a descriptor where it is different to the interpretation that seems to have been used by the Atos health professional and the decision maker. Even if the tribunal doesn't agree with your interpretation, this may give you grounds for appealing to the upper tribunal if you are unhappy with the decision.

Getting help

You may be able to get help from an experienced welfare rights worker with going through the papers and seeking additional evidence and they may also be able to prepare a written submission for you, see Getting Help.

Time limits

If you wish to provide further evidence once you have read the submission, new rules introduced in November 2008 oblige you to do so within one month of the date on which the

bundle was sent out. In practice it will often be virtually impossible to get medical evidence, for example, within the time limit. You should send in any evidence you can within the time limit and send in any other evidence as soon as it becomes available, along with a letter explaining why it could not be sent earlier. The tribunal has the power to accept late evidence if it chooses and at the time of writing they seem to be quite happy to do so.

Requesting that the tribunal issue directions

The tribunal has the power to issue directions to either party, telling them to do or refrain from doing something. There may be occasions when you wish to ask the tribunal to exercise this power. This is something that is relatively untried and we have no idea if it will work, but we can see no reason why claimants shouldn't make requests in this way.

For example, you may have had a home visit for your medical and the health professional may have written their report by hand rather than using a computer. As a result important passages in the report may be illegible. In this case you should first write to the DWP asking them to provide you with a typed transcript of the illegible parts. If they fail to do so you could then write to the tribunals service and ask that, in the interests of justice, directions be issued to the DWP instructing them to provide a typed transcript prior to the hearing.

Alternatively, you may discover when you receive the bundle that the DWP have not contacted your consultant for a medical report even though you asked them to do so and you consider the consultant's evidence to be vital if the tribunal is to have all the information it needs to make an informed decision. You may have been unable to get a report yourself because the consultant would have charged you for it.

Once again, it would be wise to write to the DWP first asking them to contact your consultant for a report and pointing out that if they do not you will ask the tribunal to issue directions that they do so. If they don't respond, or refuse to obtain the evidence, then you could write to the tribunal service asking them to issue a direction. We don't know if a tribunal judge would be willing to do this. They might, for example, prefer to wait until the hearing and then reach a conclusion about whether more evidence is needed. But even if the tribunal refuse your request, it may give you grounds for an appeal to the upper tribunal if your appeal is unsuccessful.

You do need to bear in mind though that you have no control over what questions the DWP ask your consultant or what responses they might give, so there is no certainty that their evidence will support your case.

A letter requesting that directions be issued might look something like this:

Dear Clerk to the Tribunal,

Re: Ms Sylvia Jones

NINO: WE 67 48 54 D

Appeal ref: U/04/802/2003/00184

I would be most grateful if you would place this request for directions to be issued before a tribunal chair at your earliest convenience.

- 1. I have received the bundle of papers in connection with my appeal against the decision not to award me employment and support allowance.*
- 2. I requested both in my ESA50 and in a letter to the DWP decision maker dated 12.08.11, following receipt of the appeal papers, that my consultant, Mr James*

Charlesworth of Bath Royal Mineral Hospital, be contacted for a report on the way that my condition affects my ability to mobilise.

- 3. I see my consultant regularly, and have done so for three years, but I am unable to obtain a written report from him because of the cost involved. I understand that the consultant's report would be provided free of cost to the DWP because of the contractual relationship between the DWP and the NHS.*
- 4. However, it appears from the papers that the DWP have failed to contact my consultant and have instead relied on the ESA85 report from the Atos health professional and an ESA113 form from my local GP practice.*
- 5. Unfortunately, as I made clear to the DWP, I seldom visit my GP's practice because there is nothing that they can do in relation to my treatment and they do not therefore have any detailed knowledge of its effects upon my mobility. As a result the ESA113 form contains no detailed evidence about how my condition affects my mobility.*
- 6. I consider that a report from my consultant would greatly aid the tribunal in reaching a fair decision based upon a range of evidence rather than simply having the ESA85 and an non-specific ESA113 at its disposal.*
- 7. I therefore respectfully request that a direction is issued to the DWP instructing them to seek a report from Mr James Charlesworth of Bath Royal Mineral Hospital prior to my hearing, which has not yet been listed,*

Yours faithfully,

You could ask for directions in relation to other issues too. For example, you may want a previous Atos medical report or other document that you do not have a copy of including in the bundle, but the DWP are refusing. But do make sure that your request is a reasonable one and that you have exhausted other methods of getting satisfaction before asking the tribunal to intervene.

Your letter should be addressed to the clerk to the tribunal at whichever tribunals service office is dealing with your request. As always, keep a copy and record of posting.

Submitting additional evidence

If you filled in the claim pack using one of the Benefits and Work guides then there's a good chance that you included additional evidence from other people anyway. However, once you've seen all the evidence in the bundle you may decide that that further evidence is needed to challenge some of the assertions made by the decision maker.

Time limits

As explained overleaf, if you wish to provide further evidence once you have read the submission, new rules introduced in November 2008 oblige you to do so within one month of the date on which the bundle was sent out. In practice it will often be virtually impossible to get medical evidence, for example, within the time limit. You should send in any evidence you can within the time limit and send in any other evidence as soon as it becomes available, along with a letter explaining why it could not be sent earlier. The tribunal has the power to accept late evidence if it chooses and at the time of writing they seem to be quite happy to do so.

Medical evidence

Is there medical evidence from the Atos health professional that can be challenged by an opinion from another doctor?

Your GP or other health professionals may be willing to address specific issues, such as how far you consider you can walk without severe discomfort, in a letter. But you should bear in mind that health professionals are under no obligation to provide you with a letter of support for your claim. Some may refuse to supply a letter, others may only do so if they are paid.

Buying medical evidence

If you are eligible for Legal Help (what used to be called legal aid) some solicitors and advice agencies may be able to pay for medical evidence for you. They may be able to commission this either from your existing health professionals or from, for example, a specialist, even if you have not seen one before. You will need to find an agency which has funding from the Community Legal Service for carrying out welfare benefits work. Generally, such agencies are not permitted to simply arrange for medical evidence to be provided: they will have to provide other help with the appeal, such as producing a written submission. Unfortunately, no funding is available for actually representing you at your hearing. See the *Getting help* section for how to find appropriate agencies in your area.

GP's evidence

Has your GP provided inaccurate or incomplete evidence to the DWP by filling in a form without first discussing matters with you? If so, can you contact the GP and ask them to consider submitting further evidence to correct the wrong impression? It's not that uncommon for tribunals to receive a letter from a GP saying that they filled in a form without speaking to their patient and now wish to correct any wrong impression they may have given. Unfortunately, the tribunal may take the view that the first evidence from the doctor was accurate and the follow-up letter has been written only as a result of pressure from you.

Non-medical evidence

Is there evidence that can be provided by friends, relatives, carers or support staff? For example, people who have had to help you when you have had falls or who have witnessed you becoming very distressed over relatively minor events?

Photographs

Photographs can occasionally be useful. For example, an Atos health professional might write that although you say you can now only walk short distances, there is no muscle wasting in your legs. This may not be true. However, tribunals are strictly prohibited from carrying out a physical examination of claimants, so how do you prove it? Clearly the best way is to get medical evidence saying there is muscle wasting. But if this is not possible, or in addition, there is nothing to prevent you submitting photographs of your legs.

How to submit additional evidence

Where possible, send the additional evidence to the Tribunals Service along with a letter giving your name, national insurance number and appeal reference. Don't send originals of letters and documents, in case they get lost. Instead, send copies. But you must take the originals with you to the hearing as the tribunal are entitled to ask to see them. A very brief covering letter like the one below is all that is required.

If you have yet to hear from the Tribunals Service and so don't know where to send your additional evidence, visit the Tribunals Service website, where you can select social security and child support tribunals from the drop down list on the venues page and type in your postcode. You will then be given contact details for your nearest Tribunals Service venues. Contact the nearest office before sending your additional evidence to ensure that they are holding your papers. If you don't yet have an appeal reference, your name, address and national insurance number will be sufficient for your papers to be identified.

Dear Sir / Ms,

Re: Ms Sylvia Jones

NINO: WE 67 48 54 D

Appeal ref: U/04/802/2003/00184

Please find enclosed 4 pages, single-sided, of additional evidence to be included in the appeal papers.

Yours sincerely,

You should get a reply from the Tribunals Service acknowledging receipt of the additional evidence and enclosing numbered copies of it for you to add to your bundle.

If this doesn't happen, contact the Tribunals Service to find out if they received your letter.

Letters requesting evidence

If you write to a health professional or someone else asking for evidence you don't have to submit this letter along with the reply, but you must take it to the tribunal. The panel are entitled to ask to see the letter soliciting evidence to decide whether the witness was coerced, emotionally blackmailed or otherwise improperly persuaded or led to give the evidence they did.

If you can't produce the letter at the hearing the tribunal could decide to adjourn until a later date in order for the evidence to be provided. Of course, if the evidence was requested in a telephone conversation or by you going to visit the witness then there will be no letter to produce. However, the tribunal are entitled to reach their own conclusion about how reliable any piece of evidence is.

Whether and how to write a submission

Written submissions, as opposed to written evidence, are used to set out your case or to challenge particular aspects of the DWP's case. Written submissions are becoming increasingly common because many advice agencies funded via Community Legal Services cannot get money for representing a client at a tribunal, but can get money for helping them to prepare their case.

However, the general rule is that evidence is best given by you in person at the hearing, where the tribunal can make a judgement about your honesty and reliability and ask further questions.

Most claimants do not provide a written submission, apart from their initial appeal form, and you should not feel under any pressure to do so. However, there are some circumstances when you might decide you want to provide a written submission.

Complex matters

You don't have to be involved in complex legal arguments in relation to ESA, particularly if you are not a welfare rights worker.

However, if you have used our guides you may want to challenge the DWP's opinion on the definition of various terms used in the work capability assessment. Because the assessment is relatively new and keeps changing it will be some time before higher courts reach binding decisions on how various terms should be interpreted. In the meantime, it is open to you, if you wish, to try to persuade a tribunal that your understanding of the law is to be preferred to that of the DWP. You'll find lots of suggestions in our guides to ESA as to what the possible interpretation of new terms and concepts in the work capability assessment should be.

The Tribunals Service
Oxford House
Hill Street, The Hayes
Cardiff CF10 2DR

14 October 2010

Dear Sir / Ms,

*Re: Ms Sylvia Jones
NINO: WE 67 48 54 D
Appeal ref: U/04/802/2003/00184*

My appeal hearing takes place on 23.11.11 and I respectfully request that the tribunal take into account the following submission.

1. In their submission, the decision maker stated that I scored no points for activity 16 of the limited capability for work assessment: Coping with social engagement due to cognitive impairment or mental disorder
2. The decision maker stated that because I was able to attend doctors' appointments that none of the descriptors in activity 16 apply.
3. I would submit that the wrong test was applied by the decision maker. An appointment to see someone in their professional capacity does not, in my view, constitute a social engagement and should not therefore be used as evidence in relation to this activity.

4. I have provide detailed evidence in my ESA50 about the ways in which my depression and panic attacks preclude virtually all forms of social engagement, particularly with people with whom I am not familiar.
5. I respectfully request that the tribunal consider whether the correct legal test has been applied by the decision maker in regard to activity 16 and, if not, that they make findings as to whether any of the relevant descriptors apply to me.

Your faithfully,

Summary of your case

Again, this is absolutely not something that you have to do. But, if you wish, you may find it helpful to provide a written submission setting out a brief summary of your case to save the tribunal time and point them in the right direction. This should be no more than 2 sides of A4 and should include information such as:

- What the decision was in your case.
- What your health conditions are.
- What award you consider you meet the criteria for.
- Brief information about how you meet those criteria, e.g. what points you think you score or which exceptional circumstances you think apply.

Producing a written submission

There is no standard format for written submissions, but it is worth numbering paragraphs so that you can direct the panel to them in the course of the hearing if you need to do so. Sub-headings also make a written submission more reader friendly. There is a brief sample written submission above in relation to complex matters.

Time limits

If you do wish to provide a written submission once you have read the decision maker's submission, new rules introduced in November 2008 require you to do so within one month of the date on the decision maker's letter. However, at the moment tribunals seem happy to exercise their discretion to accept late submissions.

Using upper tribunal judges' (Commissioners') decisions

From November 2008 Social Security Commissioners are part of the Upper Tribunal, with ordinary tribunals now known as First Tier Tribunals. New decisions are now upper tribunal decisions rather than commissioners decisions. Decisions made in the past are still likely to be called commissioners decisions, however, but to make life simpler we will just refer to all such decisions as upper tribunal decisions.

It's entirely possible, and indeed usual, not to use upper tribunal decisions at all in the course of putting your case to the tribunal. So don't worry if you have neither the time nor the inclination to get involved with looking for relevant upper tribunal decisions. On the other hand, they can be useful and you don't need to be a lawyer to understand them, so it's worth knowing a little bit about how they work.

But do bear in mind that in relation to the work capability assessment (WCA), and particularly in relation to mental health, it will be some years before there is a large body of decisions to refer to. Some of the decisions made about the personal capability assessment for incapacity benefit may apply equally to the WCA where the wording of descriptors is the same or very similar. But in many cases, we will have to wait many months, or even years, before we know what views judges take about the WCA descriptors.

If you lose an appeal against a benefits decision, you may be able to appeal further to the upper tribunal. Upper tribunal judges can overturn an appeal tribunal's decision and their decisions are binding on all tribunals. So, for example, if an upper tribunal judge rules in a particular case that a tribunal should have taken into account the claimant's breathlessness when deciding how far he could walk without severe discomfort, then all future tribunals will have to take breathlessness into account.

Unfortunately, upper tribunal decisions are not binding on other upper tribunal judges. So it's entirely possible for another upper tribunal judge to find exactly the opposite and for yet more upper tribunal judges to then step in and take sides in a kind of judge's playground fight. Tribunals must then choose which decision they are going to follow.

Sometimes a gang of three upper tribunal judges will get together to decide a particularly contentious issue. Their decisions are binding on all upper tribunal judges, which prevents any further gang warfare.

Decisions of Northern Irish upper tribunal judges are not binding on mainland tribunals, although they are persuasive.

Submitting upper tribunal decisions

If you wish to draw the tribunal's attention to an upper tribunal decision, the simplest way is to turn up on the day with four full copies of the decision, with the passages you consider relevant highlighted with a highlighter pen. If the decision is a reported one the tribunal will have copies available to them, so you can just refer to the relevant paragraphs in the course of the hearing. (Though I still prefer to take highlighted copies along).

If you refer to a decision in a written submission, you should enclose a copy with the submission, unless it is a reported decision, in which case you need only quote the relevant section(s).

But do make sure you read the whole decision before submitting it. You may find that although one part is supportive of your case, another part could be very damaging. Also, avoid submitting great piles of decisions: if you do it on the day, the hearing may be

postponed because the panel don't have time to look at them all and even if you do it weeks beforehand you're likely to irritate and confuse the panel. Stick to one or two of the most relevant decisions if you use any at all.

Where to find upper tribunal decisions

Benefits and Work www.benefitsandwork.co.uk

The free access section of the site includes the fully searchable text of hundreds of upper tribunal/commissioners' decisions.

Disability Alliance www.disabilityalliance.org

The free, downloadable Digests of Commissioner's decisions on Disability Alliance's site are excellent, though by no means exhaustive, resources, which appear to be kept updated. One digest covers DLA and AA decisions and the other relates to incapacity for work. Both are available in word or .pdf format. They are a very useful starting point for anyone trying to find out if there has been a decision relating to a particular aspect of their claim.

Office of the social security Commissioners www.osscsc.gov.uk

What used to be the social security commissioners' site has a keyword based upper tribunal/commissioners decision search facility.

Department for Social Development of Northern Ireland www.dsdni.gov.uk

DSDNI is Northern Ireland's equivalent of the Department for Work and Pensions. The benefits law index page contains links to a range of legal resources, including: the Northern Ireland Digest of Case Law which contains full copies in Word format of all NI Commissioners' decisions since the year 2000 and many of the most important Commissioners decisions from preceding years.

Department for Work and Pensions www.dwp.gov.uk/

The Information for professionals and advisers section of the Resource centre contains links to a wide variety of guides, manuals and legal texts, including: full texts of all reported Commissioners decisions from 1991 to March 2002, available in a set of downloadable .pdf files; Neligan's Digest, a downloadable volume which contains digests of Commissioners' decisions (but not full texts) that the DWP considers important.

Rightsnet www.rightsnet.org.uk/

The most comprehensive collection of commissioners/upper tribunal decisions on the web, but you do have to pay a subscription to access them.

Preparing yourself for the hearing

Attend a hearing

If you have never been to a tribunal before, one of the most effective ways to prepare yourself is to attend one. They are scheduled to last just 40 minutes, so if you spend a morning at the tribunal offices, you should be able to watch several. This will mean that when you attend your own hearing you will know the layout of the building and have a much clearer idea of how the tribunal is likely to be conducted. If you are able to watch an experienced representative you may also pick up some useful pointers.

Contact your regional Tribunals Service office to get details of where your nearest tribunal venue is and when ESA hearings are held. They may also be able to tell you whether there are any claimants attending with representatives on the day you wish to attend, and at what time. Alternatively, you may be able to phone the clerk at the local venue itself, if it's used regularly.

When you get to the hearing venue introduce yourself to the clerk, explain why you are there and ask them to speak to whoever is going in next about your attending their hearing. Strictly speaking, appeals are public hearings, although the judge can decide to exclude the public in some circumstances. However, if particularly personal details are being discussed the appellant may say they'd rather you didn't attend.

Practice saying 'Sir' and 'Ma'am'.

From November 2008 tribunal chairs have been given the title of judge. They should therefore be addressed as 'Sir' or 'Ma'am' (unless the judge tells you differently). For some people this is not a problem at all, for others it may feel a little strange, if not entirely objectionable. So, if you think you may find this awkward, practice calling people Sir and Ma'am until it trips off your tongue as if you'd been doing it all your life.

Mark up your papers

If there are things in the papers you want to draw the tribunal's attention to, make sure you can find them quickly and easily. Use post-its, highlighter pen and marginal notes liberally. Doing this means that the tribunal won't get increasingly impatient as you scrabble through the bundle muttering "*Sorry, I'll find it in a minute . . . I'm sure it's here somewhere*". In addition, you can direct the tribunal to the pages you want them to look at and give them less opportunity to go hunting around for things you might be less keen on them spending time on: "*At page sixteen of the bundle, the doctor states . . . however at page 7, the decision maker says . . . and if you'll turn to page 93 . . .*"

Draw up a checklist of evidence you think it is vital for the tribunal to hear

One of the worst feelings is to walk out of a hearing and then start thinking of all the things you intended to tell the tribunal but forgot about. If the hearing is not successful you're going to be left wondering if those extra bits of evidence would have made all the difference.

So make brief notes of each point and example you think the tribunal needs to hear, then at the hearing tick them off as they are dealt with. During the hearing add any further points that come up. Then when you're asked for any final comments, you can check through the list and briefly give the tribunal the extra information they need.

Draw up a checklist of things to take to the hearing

On the day of the hearing you may well be feeling a little nervous and you may not have slept terribly well – or at all. So in the days beforehand it's very well worth making a list of all the things you need to take to the hearing. For example:

- Appeal papers
- 3 x copies of additional evidence.
- Originals of GP's letter.
- Copies of letter to GP asking for evidence.
- Tribunal office number.
- Notebook and pens.
- List of points that need to be made.

What to wear

Dressing smartly demonstrates respect and 'respectability'. However, if in your claim you have said that you have to wear slip on shoes, elasticated waists or other clothing dictated by your condition then you should either:

- wear that clothing, because the tribunal will definitely notice if you don't and are entitled to draw conclusions from it; or
- wear smart clothes but actually point out to the panel that this is not what you normally wear and explain any extra help required or discomfort involved.

Medication

Tribunals are entirely accustomed to claimants turning up with rings under their eyes, looking extremely anxious and uncomfortable and stuttering and stammering their way through the hearing. And if it takes you two minutes and much discomfort to walk to your seat at the hearing then the tribunal will just have to wait patiently. These are not problems.

But if you take additional pain killers, tranquilisers or other drugs to help you cope with the ordeal, this can be a disaster, because the tribunal are entitled to take into account their observations of you at the hearing. So it's worth trying to stick to your normal medication regime. Otherwise, if for example, you have said that you suffer pain when walking more than a few yards but walk into the tribunal without any apparent discomfort, because you've taken a double dose of pain killers, it could do your case a great deal of harm. Similarly, if you suffer from anxiety attacks, but attend the hearing in a state of benign detachment due to taking extra tranquilisers, you may well make a very unconvincing witness.

Getting to the hearing

You are likely to be asked by the panel how you got to the hearing. For this reason it is not a good idea for you to use public transport on the day, unless you regularly use public transport and have no difficulties doing so. There is often an assumption that people who use public transport have less serious health problems because they are able to get to a bus stop and stand for long periods, as well as coping with the crowding, jolting and frequent stops and starts. If you do have to use public transport you will need to explain to the tribunal in great detail any problems that the journey caused you and any problems it may cause you for the rest of the day or following days.

On the other hand, if you come by car, you may be asked where you parked and how long it took you to walk from the car park.

A taxi is often a good option for attending a hearing, if you are able to afford it.

In the tribunal building

As well as being observed when you are in the hearing, you may be seen by panel members as you move around the tribunal building. Or you may be asked about how you managed walking along the corridor, whether you used stairs or a lift and so on. If you

have visited the tribunal venue, you will know the layout and know whether there will be any difficulties for you. For example, is it a long walk from the waiting room to the room in which the hearing is held? If so will you be able to walk it or is there a wheelchair available for your use?

Postponement, adjournment or no decision

If your hearing is listed for late in the afternoon, prepare yourself for the possibility of being sent home unheard if the hearings are running late. In addition, sometimes hearings begin, but are then adjourned because, for example, the tribunal decides it needs extra medical or other evidence or because the tribunal have been given the wrong papers or incomplete sets of papers. Finally, there is a slim possibility that although the hearing will be heard in full, the tribunal will be unable to reach a decision on the day and you will be sent home not knowing the outcome. The decision will be sent in the post to you some days later.

Inviting witnesses

You need to give the matter careful thought before inviting people to be witnesses. Could the potential witness actually give their evidence in a letter instead? Clearly it is better and more persuasive evidence if the witness is there in person to be asked questions by the tribunal, but the hearing is a short one, less than an hour, and there is not time for a stream of witnesses.

If you do intend to have witnesses, remember to check their unavailable dates and pass them on to the Tribunals Service.

If someone can give wide ranging evidence because they are a carer, partner, live with you or something similar then it may well be worth them attending as a witness. However, make sure that the witness is prepared to take your advice about dress and how to give evidence. If they turn up in deeply distressed denim and harangue the tribunal about the dreadful way you have been treated they may do more harm than good.

Witnesses are not generally called, as such. Usually they simply sit alongside you and are invited to speak on relevant issues by the tribunal judge. However, some judges may insist that witnesses wait outside until they are required.

You need to be careful if your witness is a parent or partner who has got into the habit of speaking on your behalf. Tell them that at the tribunal the panel will want to hear from you first every single time and that if they jump in first the tribunal may well feel that the evidence being given is unreliable. Lay out clear ground rules: the witness should never interrupt anybody, should wait to be invited to give evidence either by the tribunal judge or by you and, as far as possible, should only give the evidence agreed between you beforehand.

You don't have to inform the tribunal beforehand that you're bringing witnesses, but tell the clerk when you arrive at the hearing that they are there as witnesses rather than just to observe.

Notice of a hearing

Once you have returned the enquiry form you will probably hear nothing until you get a letter giving you the date, time and venue of the hearing. This may take five months or more and you will not usually get much more than two weeks notice of the actual hearing. Indeed, as the Tribunals Service are only obliged to send out the notice 14 days before the hearing date you may actually get less than two weeks notice. The letter should also tell you the name of the doctor who is to sit on the panel. If you know the doctor you should contact the Tribunals Service straight away. The Tribunals Service will then either to arrange for the doctor to be replaced, if that is possible at short notice, or have the hearing postponed.

When you get the date, check it is one you, and your witnesses if you have any, can attend on. If it's not, and it was a date you put down as being unable to attend, then contact the Tribunals Service immediately. They should offer you a new date instead. If they refuse to change the date write to them immediately asking for the hearing to be postponed and explaining why. Your letter should then be passed on to a tribunal judge. If they still carry on with the hearing in your absence you will have to get help in applying for a set aside, assuming you are unhappy with the tribunal's decision. As always, keep copies of everything and make notes of names and dates when you speak to people on the phone.

If the date is one that you told the Tribunals Service you could attend then you will need a very compelling reason for wanting it changed and there is no certainty that the Tribunals Service will agree to do so. If you are too ill to attend, inform the Tribunals Service by telephone and follow it up with a letter. If they do not postpone the hearing, make sure you get a doctors letter saying that you were too ill to attend and seek advice on trying to get the tribunal's decision set aside if you are unhappy with it.

What to do at the hearing

Because tribunals have very few rules of procedure, almost anything can happen on the day. However, in this section we try to give you some idea of what may happen and what you might do about it.

Arriving at the hearing

The clerk will be popping in and out of the waiting room and should approach you not long after you arrive. They will explain to you how the appeal system works and check if you have any expenses.

Go through the following things with the clerk:

- if you've brought any witnesses, introduce them and explain that they are attending as witnesses;
- ask how late are the hearings are running, this will give you some idea of how long you might have to wait;
- ask if they received any additional submissions you sent: compare bundles with the clerk;
- give the clerk copies of any further evidence that you didn't post to the Tribunals Service; for example, last minute medical evidence;
- ask if a presenting officer (see below) will be attending; the answer will almost certainly be no – but it's worth checking.

If there are problems: if things are running late, if you get sent home because they won't have time to hear your case, don't take it out on the clerk. Tribunal clerks do a very difficult job for not very good money and sometimes have to take the brunt of people's fury at having to attend a hearing or, worse still, losing their appeal. They manage nonetheless, to be courteous and helpful.

Being shown into the room

The two tribunal members sit together on one side of a table. The clerk will show you and any witnesses to seats opposite them. Tribunals are public hearings, so in theory the public can attend. In practice they don't. Sometimes someone from the DWP or a Citizens Advice Bureau who is learning about tribunals may wish to observe, however. The clerk will normally have told you if anyone else is attending and you can ask for the hearing to be held in private, though the final decision is the judge's.

Who must be present

The tribunal itself consists of two people.

A **judge**, who is legally qualified. This may be a retired solicitor or a younger solicitor hoping to work their way up to becoming an upper tribunal judge. Some are very pleasant and courteous; some businesslike and efficient and some, sadly, can seem very stressed, irascible and anxious to shut you up and get you in and out as quickly as possible.

A **doctor** who may also carry out medical examinations for the DWP. Again, some can be pleasant, whilst others seem most interested in trying to catch you out. Some doctors seem to have pet conditions that they are very sympathetic about and other conditions, say ME/CFS or back pain, that they are very sceptical and undermining about.

Who may also be present

In addition, there will very rarely be a representative of the DWP, the Presenting Officer, who will put their case. A clerk may also be present, but they will probably come and go throughout the hearing and they take no part in the proceedings.

The judge's introduction

The judge will introduce themselves and the medical member. Note the doctor's name, if possible, in case you wish to address them directly. The judge will explain that they are not part of the DWP and that they are here to consider the matter afresh.

Rules of evidence and procedure.

Tribunal Chairs run hearings pretty much as they choose. There are no rules of evidence at a tribunal and very little in the way of procedure. So, for example:

- No oath to tell the truth is sworn – although you can be asked to at the judge's discretion
- Evidence is given sitting down.
- You can be asked leading questions.
- 'Hearsay' evidence is permitted.
- Documents can be submitted without the other side having seen them in advance.
- Witnesses are not generally asked to wait outside and then called to give evidence – though they can be, at the judge's discretion.

Starting out: chronology of the case and the current award.

The tribunal will often begin proceedings by recapping what has happened: whether you are currently getting ESA; when the decision that is being appealed was made and what that decision was. It's useful if you have these basic facts to hand, which you can usually find at the beginning of the bundle, after the schedule (index).

Giving evidence at the hearing

The tribunal can question you in any way it wishes. Generally the judge will take the lead, asking questions about a particular issue and then asking the medical member if they have any further questions they wish to put.

Sometimes the judge will begin by asking you about a typical day, or they may ask in detail about what you did yesterday: what time you got up, when you dressed, whether you had problems washing and bathing etc. They may then ask about the day before and so on until they feel they have built up an accurate picture of how your condition affects you.

One potential problem with this line of questioning is that the tribunal are supposed to be looking at how your condition was at the date of the decision, not how it is now. If your condition varies, it may be that you are in a better patch at the moment and so your answers will not be an accurate reflection of your condition. If this is the case you will need to explain it to the tribunal. This is particularly the case with ESA where there can be a delay of many months between a decision being made and an appeal being heard.

Dealing with silences

When asked questions you should try to answer them accurately, but as briefly and concisely as possible. Not only will this help the tribunal get through their business, but it can also help prevent them going off at a tangent because you have given more information than was needed.

If there is a long silence after your answer, you should try to avoid filling it just out of politeness or nervousness: it's quite likely that the judge is simply noting down what you've

said before moving on. Watch the judge's pen, if it's still moving then there's no need to speak – the judge will look up when they have finished and ask another question.

Difficult situations.

Most panels are polite and will give you time and help to put your case. Occasionally, however, this may not be the case. For example, they may display clear prejudice against your condition or show obvious inattention. They may hector or hurry you in a way that causes you distress. They may talk amongst themselves or with a presenting officer about legal issues that you don't understand.

When things happen that you are unhappy about, you may be very reluctant to make any sort of protest in case it prejudices the tribunal against you. The problem with not objecting at the time is that if you later seek to rely on what you view as unfair behaviour as grounds for an appeal, it may count against you that you didn't say anything at the time. Though the fact that you are an unrepresented claimant will count in your favour in this regard.

There are no right answers in these circumstances, but below are a few things you may wish to try.

Ask for the matter to be noted

When you ask for something to be noted in the record of proceedings you are telling the panel that you want an official record made. The tribunal will be aware that you may then use this record as the basis of a complaint against individual panel members or as grounds for an appeal if it prejudiced your case in some way. For example:

'Ma'am, I respectfully request that it be noted in the record of proceedings that on the last five occasions on which I have attempted to speak I have been interrupted by the panel.'

'Sir, I would ask it to be noted that the medical wing member is tipping his chair back onto two legs, twanging an elastic band between his teeth and appears not to be paying any attention at all to the proceedings'. (This did actually happen).

'Sir, I would ask that it be noted in the record that you have just asked me if I am stupid as well as deaf'. (This also actually happened . . . at the same hearing).

Keep careful notes yourself

If something happens that you're unhappy with, try to make brief notes at the time and more detailed ones immediately you get back into the waiting room. Any witnesses should also make notes immediately afterwards. If you do subsequently appeal or make a complaint, the fact that you have a contemporaneous record of what happened will increase the chances of success.

Ask for a brief adjournment

If you have someone with you, such as your partner, a brief adjournment will give you the opportunity to confer and decide how best to deal with an unexpected situation. For example, the tribunal may have asked if it would be a good idea to adjourn the hearing in order to obtain some additional piece of evidence that might help your case. Or you may have become so distressed that you are having difficulty giving evidence.

Withdrawing your appeal

There may be circumstances under which you wish to withdraw your appeal once the hearing has begun. This could happen where, for example, the tribunal gave a clear indication that it was considering taking away an existing award. However, since November 2008 the tribunal has the power to refuse to allow an appeal to be withdrawn orally at the hearing. (Appeals can

still be withdrawn in writing prior to the hearing without anyone having the power to prevent the withdrawal).

Closing statement from you.

We've already suggested you have a checklist of all the most important points and examples which you can cross off as they're covered. If any didn't get covered, or you've added additional ones during the hearing, raise them at the end. This shouldn't be a problem as you should be asked by the judge if you have anything you want to add before they make their decision. But if the hearing has already overrun and the judge is in a rush to get shot of you, they may ask you to leave without inviting any final points. If this happens, you must be brave and insist:

"Sir, I do apologise, but there are some brief points that I think it's important the tribunal should be aware of when coming to your decision".

Paradoxically, it can be hardest to do this if the tribunal has been very pleasant and you feel sure they are going to find for you: the last thing you feel like doing is holding them up for longer and perhaps losing some of their goodwill. Don't be fooled, the tribunal may have enormous sympathy for you yet still find against you, or they may just be very good at hiding their opinions. So, no matter how hard, make those points. Make them as briefly as you possibly can, but make them. Because if the tribunal find against you you'll always wonder if it would have been different if you'd said all the things you thought important.

Waiting for the decision

Once the tribunal is satisfied that they have all the evidence they need, they will ask you and anyone else in the room to leave whilst they deliberate and reach their decision. Very rarely the judge may say that they are unable to reach a decision today because the matter is a complex one and tell you that a decision will be put in the post.

Waiting for the decision is probably the worst bit of the entire appeal process. One thing you can be certain of, however: there is no connection between the length of time the tribunal take to make a decision and whether it will be for or against you, so don't bother speculating.

Getting the decision and the decision notice.

Sooner or later, it might be five minutes, it might be twenty minutes, the clerk will come and fetch you. You are shown back into the tribunal room where the panel sit in silence until you are seated. The judge will say something like:

"Ms Jones, we have allowed your appeal. You will receive employment and support allowance with the support component from April 27th 2011."

You will be passed the decision notice and that's it. If the decision is a good one give them a big smile and a quiet thank you. If it's a bad one, look the judge in the eye, nod curtly and leave. You could ask the tribunal for a 'full written decision', but it may be better to wait. See the next section for more details.

Whatever you do, don't have a go at the judge. It won't do any good and, if you want to challenge the tribunal's decision the first person you have to seek permission from is . . . the tribunal judge.

Appealing to the upper tribunal – the first step

If you are unhappy with a tribunal decision, then as soon as you have been given it you can say to the judge that you would like to have a full statement of reasons for the tribunal decision. This is a complete record of the hearing which the judge writes and has sent to you. If you don't do it at the hearing you can still write to the Tribunals Service **within one month** of the hearing and ask for a full written decision. In fact it's a good idea to make the request in writing anyway, even if you did do it verbally, just in case it doesn't get noted down.

You can also ask in the same letter to be provided with a copy of the judge's contemporaneous notes. These are the notes that the judge took at the hearing and if there is a material disparity between what the notes say and what the statement says, this may provide grounds for appeal.

Some representatives prefer, in any case, to wait a few weeks to make the request rather than asking at the hearing. They consider that if you ask at the hearing the judge may be able to make a good job of writing up the proceedings from their notes. If, on the other hand, a few weeks have gone by the judge may find it harder to reconstruct the proceedings from handwritten notes and there may be a better chance of finding a point of law on which to appeal.

Asking for the full statement, which can take anything up to three months to arrive, does not commit you to anything. But if you do not have the full written decision you are not permitted to seek leave to appeal to the upper tribunal, so it's worth keeping your options open by asking for a copy whilst you consider what to do.

The process of actually appealing to the upper tribunal is beyond the scope of this current guide, but we have set out the first steps in brief below. It is a lengthy and more complex procedure than an appeal hearing and it is helpful to have some legal knowledge, although there are claimants who have succeeded at the upper tribunal with no support at all. It would be wise, therefore to try to get help for you from a welfare rights worker as soon as you receive a copy of the full decision.

Appeals to the upper tribunal have to be based on points of law. In other words, you have to say more than that you disagree with the decision, you have to show that the tribunal: got the law wrong; got the facts wrong; failed to take account of relevant facts; behaved unfairly or hasn't properly explained how it arrived at its decision.

Initially you have to ask the judge for permission to appeal to the upper tribunal and this must be done within one month of the date on which the full written decision was sent out. You can do this using form UT1 which can be downloaded from the former commissioner's website at www.osscsc.gov.uk.

It's worth using the form as it will tell you what needs to be included in your application. If the judge grants permission your appeal will then go forward. If the judge refuses permission, which they very often do without any explanation whatsoever, you can then apply directly to the upper tribunal for leave to appeal, using the same form UT1.

If permission is refused by a upper tribunal then, realistically, that's the end of the process unless you are in a position to seek a judicial review.

If permission is granted, then both you and the DWP will be invited to make further submissions. By this stage you really do need to have got help from a welfare rights worker, if at all possible. The client's representative, or the client themselves if they don't have one, may be invited to an oral hearing which can be held in London or Cardiff, or the case may be decided on the papers.

If the upper tribunal judge finds in your favour they may either substitute a new decision of their own or, more commonly, send the matter back to be heard by a new tribunal with additional instructions about what should be considered.

Getting help with an appeal

The agencies listed below may be able to help you with your appeal. Some solicitors, advice agencies and law centres may also be able to get access to Legal Help funds (what used to be legal aid) to pay for a medical report in connection with an appeal. You can get contact details of agencies in your area who are funded under the Community Legal Services (CLS) scheme by telephoning your helpline on **0845 608 1122** or visiting www.clsdirect.org.uk. Some advice agencies and law centres may be able to represent you at a hearing, others may help you prepare your case, and perhaps provide a written submission but not actually represent at the hearing. Please note that solicitors cannot represent at a hearing under the Legal Help scheme, you would have to pay and it is likely to be very expensive.

CLS Direct helpline and website

The Community Legal Service offer free advice about welfare benefits if you are eligible for Legal Help (what used to be called Legal Aid). To use the helpline call 0845 345 4 345. After you choose the welfare benefits option you will be advised to listen to recorded messages about benefits. We recommend that you don't, the messages are long, sometimes confusing and you can read them at your leisure on the CLS website at www.clsdirect.org.uk if you wish to. Instead go straight for the option of speaking to an adviser.

Citizens' Advice Bureaux (CAB)

There are over 750 bureaux in mainland Britain. Look under Citizens Advice Bureau in your phone book or telephone the National Association of Citizens Advice Bureaux on **0207 833 2181** for details of your nearest one. You can also find details of your nearest bureau at: www.citizensadvice.org.uk

Disability Information Advice Line

There are over 140 local DIALs, all staffed by disabled people and all offering telephone advice. If you have a local line it should be listed in your telephone directory under DIAL UK. Alternatively, call the national office on **01302 310 123** or visit your website at www.dialuk.org.uk

Other advice agencies

Over 900 advice agencies are members of AdviceUK. Details of your nearest ones are available from AdviceUK's website at www.adviceuk.org.uk

Law Centres

Contact details of your nearest Law Centre, where you may be able to get free advice and representation at appeals, are available from the Law Centres Federation on **0207 387 8570** or from www.lawcentres.org.uk

Housing Associations

Some housing associations employ a welfare rights worker. If you live in a housing association property contact your local office.

Doctor's surgeries

An increasing number of surgeries and health centres have a welfare rights worker on the premises, part-time or full-time. Check with the receptionist.

Local Authority

Your local council may employ welfare rights workers who can help you with your claim. Start by asking your council's main switchboard if they can put you through to a welfare rights worker. If the operator doesn't know of one ask to be put through to the Social

Services Department and if they can't help try the Housing Department, either department may employ welfare rights workers.

Solicitors

Make sure that you will be provided with free advice before agreeing to see anyone, as solicitors may charge, depending on your income, savings, etc. In addition, search or ask for solicitors who are specialists in welfare benefits.

Appendix 1: Changes introduced in November 2008

The Tribunal Procedure (First-tier Tribunal) (Social Entitlement Chamber) Rules 2008 introduced a number of changes to social security tribunal procedure and practice. Below are some of the most important changes.

Tribunal chairpersons are now judges.

There is now an overriding objective for tribunals to deal with cases 'fairly and justly'. If a tribunal does not treat you in a fair and just manner you may have grounds to challenge the decision at the upper tribunal.

Where the tribunal has been notified that the appellant has a representative they need only provide documents (such as copies of submissions) to the representative and not to the appellant.

The tribunal now has case management powers, allowing it to do such things as: shorten or lengthen time limits; hold case management and preliminary hearings; oblige either party to provide documents, information or a submission.

The tribunal can issue a summons to require a person to appear before them.

In the draft legislation there was a requirement that the decision maker must respond to a request for an appeal within 42 days by providing the bundle of documents and their submission as to the merits of the appeal. This was removed from the final legislation and replaced by a requirement that a response should be given 'as soon as reasonably practicable'.

However, if the claimant or their representative wish to make a submission or provide further documents having seen the appeal papers, they must do so within one month of the date on which the decision maker sent out the bundle. This time limit can be extended at the judge's discretion.

The tribunal has the power to exclude any evidence which was not provided within a time limit, including a time limit set by the tribunal.

The tribunal has the power to admit any evidence it chooses, even if it would not be admissible in other courts. (In practice this has always been the case but has never been formally stated in legislation).

The appellant can withdraw an appeal in writing prior to the hearing. However, the tribunal can refuse consent for an appeal to be withdrawn where the request is made orally at the hearing.